

From: "Wright, Ann L CIV USARMY CEHQ \(\USA\)" <Ann.L.Wright@usace.army.mil>  
To: "Mednick, Richard" <Mednick.Richard@epa.gov>  
jeffrey.t.matson@usace.army.mil  
john.level@atg.wa.gov  
Gary.L.Vrooman@state.or.us  
Date: 8/16/2022 5:21:40 AM  
Subject: RE: Bradford FFA Compared and Merged

---

Thanks Richard.

Ann L. Wright  
Attorney  
Office of the Chief Counsel  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
402-996-3880  
Wk Cell 402-860-2465

---

**From:** Mednick, Richard <Mednick.Richard@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2022 6:39 PM  
**To:** Wright, Ann L CIV USARMY CEHQ (USA) <Ann.L.Wright@usace.army.mil>; Matson, Jeffrey T CIV USARMY CENWP (USA) <Jeffrey.T.Matson@usace.army.mil>; john.level@atg.wa.gov; Gary.L.Vrooman@state.or.us  
**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] Bradford FFA Compared and Merged

Hello Ann, Jeff, John and Gary,

I am attaching two versions of the draft FFA for Bradford Island. The first one compares the 4.18.22 sent by EPA with the Ft. Eustis model. The second one compares the 8.4.22 version sent by WA/OR/EPA with the Ft. Eustis model.

I am thinking we will be working from the 8.4.22 version with respect to USACE response, but also wanted everyone to see how the earlier 4.18.22 version compares with Ft. Eustis.

Thank you for participating in our discussions earlier today. I look forward to our next negotiation session on Monday, September 19, from 10-12 Pacific time.

Respectfully,

Richard

Richard Mednick  
Associate Regional Counsel  
Regional Judicial Officer  
U.S. EPA I Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue  
Suite 155, M/S 11 C07  
Seattle, WA 98101  
(206) 553-1797